REMARKS

The application as currently on file remains unchanged.

The Examiner rejected claims 1-3, 5-19, 26-28 and 33-44 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Heron et al., US Design Patent 295,011. The Examiner also rejected claims 4, 20-25 and 29-32 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable under Herron et al.

Claim 1, and hence all other claims depending thereon, contains the following limitation:

"(...) the indentation surface being configured and sized such that at least a section of the indentation surface is positioned forwardly relative to the first reference plane."

Some of the Figures, for examples Figures 4-7, represent limiting cases of the invention wherein a section of the indentation surface is positioned only minimally forwardly relative to the first reference plane. The Applicant respectfully directs the Examiner to Figure 17 of the application as filed, an annotated copy of which is enclosed herewith. In this non-limiting embodiment of the invention, a first reference plane is indicated by the arrow "A" and the section of the indentation surface that is positioned forwardly relative to the first reference plane is indicated by an arrow marked "B".

The Applicant respectfully submits that this specific embodiment of the invention illustrates the above-recited limitation claimed in claim 1. From this Figure, it can be seen that the limitation of claim 1 recited hereinabove is not present in Herron.

The Applicant respectfully requests that the arguments presented in the communication filed on June 3, 2005 be reconsidered in view of the above.

It is respectfully submitted that when the rejection of the claims be reviewed in light of Applicant's arguments, the invention without a doubt should be considered patentably distinguished over the currently applied references. It is now believed the above application is in order for Allowance and such action would be appreciated.

Very Respectfully submitted.

Louis Tessier, Reg. No. 45,289
Patent Agent